



2017





Adult Occupant



85%

Child Occupant



Safety Assist

82%

Pedestrian



64%



60%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Citroën C3 Aircross Feel Puretech 82, LHD
Body Type	- 5 door MPV
Year Of Publication	2017
Kerb Weight	1126kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all C3 Aircross
Class	Small MPV

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	•	•	×
Belt pretensioner	•	•	•
Belt loadlimiter	•	•	•
Knee airbag	×	×	×
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	•	•	•
Side chest airbag	•	•	×
Side pelvis airbag	×	×	×



SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix		×	•
Integrated CRS		×	×
Airbag cut-off switch		•	_
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	•	•	•

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet (Hood)	×
AEB City	0
AEB Inter-Urban	0
Speed Assistance System	•
Lane Assist System	•

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test ye

Fitted to the vehicle as standard	Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pa	ack
i itted to the vehicle as standard) i icced to the vehicle as part of the safety po	JCK

🚫 Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack 👚 💥 Not available	 Not applicable






Total 32.6 Pts / 85%


POOR






WEAK











Total 32.6 Pts / 85%

Comments

The passenger compartment of the C3 Aircross remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of both the driver and passenger. Citroën showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sat in different positions. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection of the driver and rear seat passenger was good or adequate for all critical parts of the body. In the side barrier test, protection was good for all main body areas and the C3 Aircross scored maximum points. Even in the more severe side pole test, protection of the chest was adequate and that of other body areas was good. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. However, a geometric assessment of the rear seats indicated poor whiplash protection. An autonomous emergency braking system is available but, as it is an option, it is not included in this assessment.



Total 40.5 Pts / 82%



Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

23.3 Pts





Restraint for 6 year old child: *Britax Romer Kidfix XP*Restraint for 10 year old child: *Graco Booster*Safety Features

6 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center
Isofix	×	•	×
i-Size	×	•	×
Integrated CRS	×	×	×

Fitted to test car as standard

O Not on test car but available as option

🗶 Not available

CRS Installation Check

11.3 Pts

Install without problem
Install with care
Safety critical problem
X Installation not allowed


i-Size CRS











Total 40.5 Pts / 82%

ISOFIX CRS









Universal Belted CRS

Römer KidFix XP (Belt)











Total 40.5 Pts / 82%

		Seat Pos	ition	
	Front		2nd row	
	PASSENGER	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)		•		•
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)		•		•
BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)		•		•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)		•		•
BeSafe iZ Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)		•		•
Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)		•		•
Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)		•		•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	•	•	•	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)	•	•	×	•
Römer King II LS (Belt)	•	•	•	•
Römer KidFix XP (Belt)	•	•	•	•

Install without problem

Install with care

Safety critical problem

🗶 Installation not allowed

Comments

In the frontal offset test, protection of both the 6 and 10 year dummies was good or adequate. In the side barrier test, protection was good for all critical body areas. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. Universal restraints which use the adult seatbelt should not be used in the rear centre seating position but, otherwise, restraints could be properly installed and accommodated.





Total 27.0 Pts / 64%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Pedestrian Protection 27.0 Pts



Head Impact	15.6 Pts
Pelvis Impact	5.4 Pts
Leg Impact	6 Pts

AEB Pedestrian 0 Pts

Comments

The bonnet provided good or adequate protection over much of its surface, with some weak or poor results recorded along the base of the windscreen and on the stiff windscreen pillars. The bumper provided good protection to pedestrians' legs and scored maximum points in Euro NCAP's tests. Protection of the pelvis was, for the most part, good with some weak areas. The autonomous emergency braking system can detect pedestrians but is not included in this assessment as it is not standard equipment.





Speed Assistance 2.5 Pts

System Name	Speed Limiter with speed limit recognition
Speed Limit Information Function	Camera based, subsigns supported
Warning Function	System advised
Speed Limitation Function	System advised (accurate to 5km/h)

Seat Belt Reminder 3 Pts

Applies To	All seats		
Warning	Driver Seat	front passenger(s)	rear passenger(s)
Visual	•	•	•
Audible	•	•	•

Pass Fail — Not available

Lane Support 1.7 Pts

System Name	Lane Departure Warning
Туре	Lane Departure Warning
Operational From	60 km/h
Warning	Audible and Visual
PERFORMANCE	
LDW Confirmation Test	Pass





Total 7.2 Pts / 60%

Comments

A seatbelt reminder is standard for the front and rear seats. The speed assistance system uses a camera to recognise local speed limits and allows the driver to easily set the limiter appropriately. A lane assistance system warns the driver when the car is drifting out of lane. An autonomous emergency braking system is available but, as it is an option, it is not included in this assessment.



RATING VALIDITY

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date Event Outcome November 2017 Rating Published



